

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a national  
banking association,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a  
Nevada limited-liability company; THE  
FOOTHILLS AT WINGFIELD  
HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, a Nevada  
non-profit corporation; FULLER JENKINS  
CLARKSON, a Nevada professional  
corporation,

Defendants.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a  
Nevada limited liability company,

Counter-Claimant,

vs.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a national  
banking association; MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,  
INC., its successors and assigns, as Nominee  
Beneficiary for DHI MORTGAGE  
COMPANY, a Texas limited partnership;  
BRIAN MCKAY, an individual; LAWRENCE  
D. MCKAY, an individual,

Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00332-LRH-WGC

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
EXTEND TIME TO REPLY TO  
DEFENDANT THE FOOTHILLS AT  
WINGFIELD HOMEOWNERS  
ASSOCIATION'S RESPONSE TO  
WELLS FARGO'S MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

**(SECOND REQUEST)**

1 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (“Wells Fargo”), and Defendant The Foothills at Wingfield  
2 Homeowners Association (the “HOA”), by and through their respective counsel (collectively the  
3 “Parties”), for good cause shown, hereby stipulate and agree to an extension for Wells Fargo to  
4 submit its Reply to the HOA’s Response to Wells Fargo’s Motion for Summary Judgment. Wells  
5 Fargo filed its Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 44] on May 2, 2018. The HOA filed its  
6 Response to Wells Fargo’s Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 51] on Sunday, May 6,  
7 2018. The Parties subsequently stipulated, and the Court ordered, to extend Wells Fargo’s Reply  
8 deadline to June 4, 2018 [ECF No. 56]. The Parties request the Court extend Wells Fargo’s  
9 deadline by an additional week, to June 11, 2018. This is the Parties’ second extension request.

10 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule 6-1, there exists good  
11 cause to grant this extension to respond for the following reasons:

12 1. This extension is sought both because Wells Fargo and its counsel require  
13 additional time to meaningfully prepare the Reply to the HOA’s Response to Wells Fargo’s  
14 Motion for Summary Judgment and to address the numerous arguments raised therein.

15 2. On June 1, 2018, Wells Fargo and the HOA agreed to the extension requested  
16 herein.

17 3. This stipulated extension request is sought in good faith and is not made for the  
18 purpose of delay.

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Therefore, Wells Fargo and the HOA jointly agree for an extension to June 11, 2018, for  
2 Wells Fargo to file its Reply to the HOA's Response to Wells Fargo's Motion for Summary  
3 Judgment.

4  
5 Dated: June 1, 2018

Dated: June 1, 2018

6 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

PERRY & WESTBROOK

7  
8 By: /s/ Michael Paretti

Kelly H. Dove, Esq.

9 Nevada Bar No. 10569

Michael Paretti, Esq.

10 Nevada Bar No. 13926

3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100

11 Las Vegas, NV 89169

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank,*  
13 *N.A.*

By: /s/ Cheryl Wilson

Alan W. Westbrook, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 6167

Cheryl Wilson, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 8312

1701 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 200

Las Vegas, NV 89102

*Attorneys for Defendant The Foothills at*  
*Wingfield Association, Inc.*

14  
15 **ORDER**

16 IT IS ORDERED.

17 DATED this 4th day of June, 2018.

18  
19   
LARRY R. HICKS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE